ITN

Innovative Training Networks Call 2015

Dr. Jennifer Brennan
NCP & ND
Marie Skłodowska-Curie Actions
During webinar please email queries to mariecurie@iua.ie

Presentation and Q&A report will be available online
Marie Skłodowska Curie Actions in Horizon 2020:

€6.2 billion budget

Funds ALL RESEARCH AREAS
(“Bottom up” with no thematic calls or priorities)

Implemented via Annual Calls for Proposals
ITN: Innovative Training Networks

Quality of Research Training

- Objective: to train a new generation of creative, entrepreneurial and innovative researchers
- A Research Training Programme for Early-Stage Researchers (ESRs)
  - Less than 4 years’ research experience after undergrad
- Mobility Rule: To be eligible to join a MSCA ITN a researcher cannot have resided in the country of host organisation for > 12 months in the last 3 years prior to the recruitment/Call deadline.
  - No nationality/citizenship requirements
Two types of Sector

Academic <-> Non-Academic

• **Academic**: consists of public or private higher education establishments awarding academic degrees, public or private non-profit research organisations whose primary mission is to pursue research, and international European interest organisations.

• **Non-Academic**: includes any socio-economic actor not included in the academic sector and fulfilling the requirements of the Horizon 2020 Rules for Participation. e.g. Industry (incl. SMEs), charities, NGOs, government/public bodies, national archives, libraries............
A Typical ITN

- Consortium of organisations from different countries and sectors
  1. **Beneficiaries**: recruit researchers
  2. **Partner Organisations**: host secondments/provide training
- Propose a joint research programme
- Recruit researchers across the consortium—each researcher has an Individual Research Project
- Advanced **research** skills and **transferable** skills training
- Networking events
- Secondments for each researcher **to another sector** (academic to non-academic, or vice-versa)
### 3 ITN Modes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Partner Organisations</th>
<th>ESRs Funded</th>
<th>Degree Structure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>European Industrial Doctorate (EID)</td>
<td>48 Months</td>
<td>2 beneficiaries, 2 countries, 2 sectors</td>
<td>from any sector (no min or max)</td>
<td>5 ESRs* / 15 ESRs</td>
<td>PhD (50% of time in non-academic sector)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European Joint Doctorate (EJD)</td>
<td></td>
<td>3 beneficiaries, 3 countries</td>
<td></td>
<td>15 ESRs</td>
<td>Joint or Double PhD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European Training Network (ETN)</td>
<td></td>
<td>3 beneficiaries, 3 countries</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No educational degree reqd. (PhD typical)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* For a two-beneficiary project
Consortium Tips and Pitfalls

• No maximum consortium size – **6 to 10** beneficiaries is considered manageable

• Must have non-academic sector participating (beneficiaries)

• Ok to have more than one partner from same country **but** no more than **40%** of the budget can go to one country

• ESRs must be recruited by a named beneficiary
  • One Irish organisation cannot participate “on behalf” of other members of a Centre/Cluster and recruit the ESRs across the members of the Centre/Cluster
  • All members of the Centre/Cluster must be Beneficiaries

• Ok to include many non-European countries as beneficiaries or partner orgs – but “high income” countries (e.g. US) are better off applying as **Partner Organisations**.
# 2014 Success Rates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coordinator</th>
<th>ETN</th>
<th>EJD</th>
<th>EID</th>
<th>ALL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IE Success Rate</td>
<td>18.5%</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>17.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EU Success Rate</td>
<td>9.4%</td>
<td>15.4%</td>
<td>18.8%</td>
<td>10.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013 ITN Call IE</td>
<td>13.6%</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Partner</th>
<th>ETN</th>
<th>EJD</th>
<th>EID</th>
<th>ALL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IE Success Rate</td>
<td>10.2%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>27.3%</td>
<td>11.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EU Success Rate</td>
<td>10.2%</td>
<td>15.8%</td>
<td>23.6%</td>
<td>10.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013 ITN Call IE</td>
<td>18.4%</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>18.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cut off scores for funding typically 93 marks out of 100 (mid-80s for EJD/EID)
ITN 2014
Funded ETN Project:

REMEDIATE – “Improved decision-making in contaminated land site investigation and risk assessment

€3.9 million

13 Partner Organisations
(1 each from US and CA)
ITN 2014

Funded EID Project:

MET-A-FOR – “Metabolomic analysis for the forensic detection of drugs of abuse in performance and food producing animals”

€820k

No Partner Organisations
ITN 2014

Funded EID Project:

CropStrengthen—“Genetic and molecular priming approaches to increase crop strength and stress tolerance”

€1.3 million

No Partner Organisations

All successful 3-Beneficiary EIDs involved 3 different countries
ITN 2014
Funded EJD Project:

EDEN – “European Dry Eye Network”

€2.5 million

3 Partner Organisations (incl. Bausch and Lomb)
Writing an Application: The Basics
Preparing to Write

• Download the call documents from the Participant Portal*
  – 2014/2015 MSCA Work Programme
  – Guide for Applicants

• Read them from cover to cover!
  – Evaluation Criteria
  – Overall objective of the Action (Opening pages of GfA and Work Programme)

Preparing to Submit

• E-submission using Submission & Evaluation of Proposals Service (SEP)

• Register with SEP for the correct ITN Mode (ETN/EJD/EID)*
  – Download Proposal Template

• Must have the Participant Identification Code (PIC) for your organisation
  – Use Search Facility or ask your research office
  – Organisation can apply for PIC (temporary one issued to allow submission)
  – Get PIC validated ASAP after submission!

• SME beneficiaries should do the financial viability self-check**

• The deadline is 17:00 Brussels time!

Proposal Content

Administrative Forms

Part B (Proposal)
Part B - Proposal Content

1. Excellence
2. Impact
3. Implementation
4. Gantt Chart
5. Capacities of the Participating Organisations (tables)
6. Ethical Aspects
7. Letters of Commitment

Overall page limit of 30 pages
No section page limits
Evaluation of your Application
Evaluation Panels

- Chemistry (CHE)
- Physics (PHY)
- Mathematics (MAT)
- Life Sciences (LIF)
- Economic Sciences (ECO)
- ICT and Engineering (ENG)
- Social Sciences & Humanities (SOC)
- Earth & Environmental Sciences (ENV)

Proposals are read by at least 3 disciplinary experts

ETN – proposals are ranked by Panel, e.g. CHE, and the distribution of awards across Panels is proportional to # of proposals received

EID and EJD – final ranking in separate EID and EJD panels
# Indicative Call Timetable

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Publication of Call</td>
<td>2-Sep-2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deadline</td>
<td>13-Jan-2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaluation of Proposals</td>
<td>March 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaluation Outcome</td>
<td>June 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signing of Grant Agreements</td>
<td>September 2015</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Evaluation Criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criterion</th>
<th>Weighting</th>
<th>Priority (ex-aequo)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Excellence</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impact</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implementation</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Overall threshold of 70%**

**No individual thresholds**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Excellence (50%)</th>
<th>Impact (30%)</th>
<th>Implementation (20%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Quality, innovative aspects and credibility of the <strong>research programme</strong></td>
<td>Enhancing research- and innovation-related <strong>human resources</strong>, skills, and working conditions to realise the potential of individuals and to provide new <strong>career perspectives</strong></td>
<td>Overall coherence and effectiveness of the <strong>work plan</strong>, including appropriateness of the allocation of tasks and resources (including awarding of the doctoral degrees for EID and EJD projects)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(including inter/multidisciplinary and intersectoral aspects)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality and innovative aspects of the <strong>training programme</strong></td>
<td>Contribution to <strong>structuring doctoral / early-stage research training</strong> at the European level and to strengthening European <strong>innovation capacity</strong>, including the potential for:</td>
<td>Appropriateness of the <strong>management structures</strong> and procedures, including quality management and risk management (with a mandatory joint governing structure for EID and EJD projects)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(including transferable skills, inter/multidisciplinary and intersectoral aspects)</td>
<td>a) meaningful contribution of the non-academic sector to the doctoral/research training, as appropriate to the implementation mode and research field</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b) developing sustainable joint doctoral degree structures (for EJD projects only)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality of the <strong>supervision</strong> (including mandatory joint supervision for EID and EJD projects)</td>
<td>Effectiveness of the proposed measures for <strong>communication</strong> and <strong>dissemination</strong> of results</td>
<td>Appropriateness of the <strong>infrastructure</strong> of the participating organisations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality of the proposed <strong>interaction</strong> between the participating organisations</td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Competences, experience</strong> and <strong>complementarity</strong> of the participating organisations and their commitment to the programme</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The “Charter and Code” and Human Resources Strategy for Researchers (HRS4R)

Embedded in Evaluation Criteria for all MSCA
• Charter: researchers’ career management
• Code: open and transparent recruitment and appraisal

HRS4R: mainstreaming C&C in institutions
• Awarded the right to use “HR Logo”
• In UCD, UL, NUIG, WIT and UCC are awardees (to-date).
• If applicable, should be included in proposal

http://ec.europa.eu/euraxess/index.cfm/rights/index
List of institutions: http://ec.europa.eu/euraxess/index.cfm/rights/strategy4ResearcherOrgs
Writing an Application: Getting the Impact Right
Expected impact of ITN 2014-2015

- Creating and contributing to high-quality innovative research and doctoral training, building capacity, having a structuring effect throughout Europe and beyond.
- Triggering cooperation between organisations from the academic and non-academic sectors via research training provided by institutions from different countries, sectors and disciplines.
- Enhancing skills development and knowledge-sharing, researchers' employability and providing them with new career perspectives.
- Shaping future generations of entrepreneurial researchers capable of contributing effectively to the knowledge-based economy and society.
- In the long term, raising the attractiveness of research careers and encouraging young people to embark on this career path.
What’s the Impact of your ITN?

Example: “Delivering a research training programme to train a new generation of researchers in the fields of X and Y, which are important to European economy and society. Researchers will be equipped with key research and complementary skills, and will have the opportunity to work across disciplines, countries and sectors, broadening their career perspectives.”
In order achieve this impact......

Consortium and Complementarity
Which participants (Beneficiaries and Partner Organisations) do you need? How will you harness the competencies and complementarities of these participants?

Research Programme
How do you shape the research programme?

Training Programme
How do you shape your training programme?

Supervision
How will you ensure the researchers are properly supported?

Dissemination, Exploitation and Public Engagement
How will you disseminate and exploit the results from the programme? How will you explain the outcomes to the general public?

Implementation
How will you manage the programme?
Tips and Tricks!

Common weaknesses document available for download after webinar on same webpage
Proposal Template Changes/Updates

“Summary” section has been removed
• Still worthwhile to put a one-paragraph summary of proposal at start of Excellence section (within the page limits)

1.1 Research Programme
• Mandatory division of project into Work Packages

1.3 Supervision
• Required sub-heading “non-academic contribution to the supervision” removed – still need to include this information

3.1 Work-plan
• Division of Deliverables table into “Scientific” and “Management, Training, Recruitment and Dissemination” Deliverables

3.3 and 3.4
• Improved explanatory text – now much clearer
General Comments

• Use a self-explanatory title and a memorable acronym
• Use the proposal template:
  • It matches the evaluation criteria and helps you to put the right information in the right place for the evaluators to find it.
  • Some evaluators use a “checklist” approach to marking – if the information is not in the correct section, they will give you “zero” for that sub-criterion.
• “A picture is worth a thousand words”
  • Use Diagrams, Charts, Tables or Figures where possible - easy to evaluate
• Be aware of the overall weighting of each criterion
  • You need to score well in all sections in order to be funded – don’t spend all your time writing the Excellence section!
  • Address all the sub-criteria equally
Abstract (Admin forms)

Provided to evaluators to help them choose the proposals they will evaluate

• Be concise
• Reflect the whole proposal including proposed impact
• Identify precise & concrete objectives for the whole proposal, not just the research
• But….provide enough technical/research information to help an evaluator with knowledge of the field to select it
# Layout of Proposal

Not evaluated but it makes life easier for the evaluators

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Template</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Use the Correct Template</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Use the Template sub-headings (provides good structure)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Provide a Table of Contents with page numbers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Use the Full Page Limit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Put the proposal acronym in the Header</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Put Page Numbers (format Page X of Y) in the Footer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Format</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Use charts, diagrams, tables, text boxes, figures.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Use appropriate font size, line spacing, page margins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Ensure any colour diagrams etc. are understandable when printed in black and white</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Use highlighting where appropriate (bold, underline, italics) but don’t overdo it!</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Language</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Avoid jargon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Explain any abbreviations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Simple clear text</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Avoid long sentences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Get rid of repetitions (refer to other parts of proposal if necessary)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Don’t copy text from other documents or websites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Be consistent with language (UK/US English)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1.1 Quality of research programme

• Educate the Evaluator
  • Majority of evaluators will not be expert in the specific subject area so....write in a style that is accessible to the non-expert using figures/tables/charts/diagrams to illustrate where appropriate

• Research objectives
  • Make them clear, focused and up front
  • Relate them to the state-of-the-art and make sure the ‘state of the art’ is up to date
  • Include a list of bibliographic references (in footnotes)

• Don’t ignore gendered innovations
1.1 Quality of research programme

• Provide a clear, focused, **detailed** description of the research methodology
  • What techniques, methods, assays, instrumentation will be used in addressing the research objectives
• Highlight originality and innovative aspects of the proposal
  • In terms of the research, but also in terms of existing research/doctoral training programmes
• **Why does Europe need an ITN in this research area?**
  • Check for similar ITNs – need to differentiate yours
  • Use a list, table, text box etc. to make them stand out

http://cordis.europa.eu/search/advanced_en
1.2 Quality of the Training Programme

- Spell out the training objectives – remember to explain why this programme is innovative

- Need a good balance of individual training and network-wide training events:
  - Acquisition of advanced research skills, and transferable/complimentary skills must be demonstrated
  - Use of Personal Career Development Plan is required
  - Open up some network events to attendees from outside the Network
  - Explain how any graduate studies programme in the hosts (“local training”) will be incorporated into the training

- Secondments: every researcher must get at least one secondment of reasonable duration (≥3 months) in another sector (academic to non-academic, or vice-versa)

- Clearly articulate the role of the non-academic sector in the training (both Beneficiaries and Partner Organisations)
1.3 Quality of the supervision

• Clearly demonstrate, with hard evidence, the quality of the research supervisor(s)/institution(s) with regard to the training of researchers
  • Include number of PhDs graduated, numbers of postdocs mentored, and where they are now

• Spell-out the joint supervision arrangements, particularly for EJD and EID

• Each researcher should have a non-academic co-supervisor
2.1: Research HR and new career perspectives

In all sections, be specific: provide details of how the impact will be achieved.

- Explain the impact of the research and training on the fellow’s careers, e.g.
  - Research skills, transferable skills gained
  - Exposure to non-academic sector
- Look to EU policies on research which refer to training/careers for researchers
  - Summarised in ITN Research Policy Brief document – available after webinar from same webpage
- Don’t simply cut and paste from EU docs or “pay lip service” by naming them in the document – present an analysis of how the ITN fits in with their objectives
2.2: Structuring research training and EU Innovation Capacity

• Spell-out the contribution of the non-academic sector to the research training and the impact it will have
  • Refer to EU policies again

• For EJD – you need to articulate how the programme will contribute to developing sustainable joint doctoral degree structures
  • E.g. Salzburg II principles - EUA Council for Doctoral Education

• Innovation capacity – refer to the impact of the research – link to Innovation Union objectives, research roadmaps, European Standardisation,......

• Does your programme build on existing ITNs?
2.3: Communication and Dissemination

- **Dissemination & Exploitation**
  - Ensure you target multiple audiences, e.g. other researchers, policy makers (can link to European excellence), industry, government science advisors, “think tanks”, legislative bodies.....
  - Outline impact of plans to exploit any IP arising from the programme

- **Public Engagement**
  - Include specifics (**what – who – when**) in a readable format (e.g. table)
  - Target different groups (students at all education levels and the general public) – participation in a *European Researchers’ Night*

Remember to explain the Impact of these activities
3.1: Workplan

• Must have a clear work plan
• Use the standard tables provided, which use the standard EU format of Work Packages, deliverables and milestones – be clear and concise
• Must complete the required Gantt Chart to illustrate timelines
• Don’t just have research Work Packages, include, e.g.:
  • Management
  • Training
  • Dissemination, Exploitation and Public Engagement
• For EJD and EID, **must include** plans for awarding the PhDs
3.2: Management Structure & Procedures

- Must have a clear management plan, to explain who will do what and when. Tasks include:
  - Recruitment (must be open and transparent – link to Charter & Code)
  - Supervisory board – all Beneficiaries must be represented. Good to have external members
  - Management of risk in the consortium (research and project management risks)
  - Gender aspects – decision making, and recruitment
  - IPR
  - Quality management was a focus during 2014 Evaluation
- You can use a **PERT chart** to illustrate who will be responsible for what - templates available at [http://www.hyperion.ie/templates.htm](http://www.hyperion.ie/templates.htm)
3.3: Infrastructure

Who is doing what, and do they have the necessary infrastructure to do it?

• Infrastructures: technical and other such as office space, access to library and IT facilities etc.

• Include info. on which institutional departments will help with managing the programme (Finance, HR etc.) and what their experience is (could go in section 3.2 instead, either is appropriate)

• Have the organisations endorsed the Charter & Code – if yes, say so!
  • List at http://ec.europa.eu/euraxess/index.cfm/rights/charterAndCode

• Have the organisations earned the “HR Excellence in Research” logo? If yes, say so!
  • List at http://ec.europa.eu/euraxess/index.cfm/rights/strategy4ResearcherOrgs

But….don’t assume that evaluator knows what this means. Explain it to them.
EURAXESS

- ‘One-stop-shop’ centralised support on issues related to mobility, incl. immigration
- Linked to central EU EURAXESS Site
- Website in each European Country (and beyond)
- Jobs Portal
- CV Database
- Most Irish HEIs are EURAXESS Local Contact Points (list on website) – mention this in the proposal

www.euraxess.eu  
www.euraxess.ie
3.4: Competences, Complementarity, Commitment

• Clearly explain the complementarities between all participants and how these will be exploited (use a diagram or table)
• If you have a Beneficiary from a country who cannot automatically get funding from Horizon 2020, need to explain why they are necessary
• Particularly important to show the commitment of the non-academic sector to the programme
• For Partner Organisations, ensure that the content of their Letter of Commitment matches their stated tasks in the programme
6: Ethics Issues

- All proposals will be checked for ethics issues
- A separate Ethics Review will be performed if necessary
- Ethics Table is in the Administrative Forms
- If you indicate Ethics Issues in the Table:
  - Clearly describe how Ethical Issues will be managed
  - How does the proposal meet national legal and ethical requirements of the host country?
  - Who will oversee the project’s ethical aspects? E.g. institutional ethics committee, Data Protection Officer
  - Provide sample consent forms etc.
  - There is no page limit, so provide as much relevant information as possible

7. Letters of Commitment

For Partner Organisations

• Content is important
• Generic letters are not useful
• Must contain specifics about role and participation of Partner Organisations (tasks allocated) and their commitment to do so

In EJD, must include Letters from the academic beneficiaries that will award the doctoral degrees (signed by a high-level person)
Gender

• Gender Equality and Gendered Innovations are mainstreamed throughout Horizon 2020
• Gender experts on every Evaluation Panel
• In your proposal, describe
  • Gender equality in decision making, recruitment, supervisory arrangements
  • Any gender aspects in relation to the research e.g. cardiovascular research, crash-test dummies
• Ireland has joined up to Athena SWAN Charter
  • http://www.ecu.ac.uk/equality-charter-marks/athena-swan/
ITN 2015 Supports

- ITN Policy Brief
- Analysis of weaknesses in Irish proposals in 2014 Call

Available at [http://www.iua.ie/webinar-for-itn-proposal-writing/](http://www.iua.ie/webinar-for-itn-proposal-writing/) a few days after the webinar
Want details of the other MSCA?

Visit www.iua.ie/mariecurie

Questions?

Please email your queries to mariecurie@iua.ie

All material will be made available for download afterwards

Join our Linkedin Group
Marie Skłodowska-Curie Office Ireland

Watch video testimonials on YouTube Channel ‘MarieCurieActionsIre’
# Deadlines 2015

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CALL</th>
<th>Opening Date</th>
<th>Closing Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ITN-2015</td>
<td>2-Sep-2014</td>
<td>13-Jan-2015</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Work Programme covers Calls in 2014 and 2015
### Funding Model

#### Categories of eligible costs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Marie Skłodowska-Curie action</th>
<th>Costs of researchers (1) PER MONTH</th>
<th>Institutional costs (2) PER MONTH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Living allowance (a)</td>
<td>Mobility allowance (b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITN (100%)</td>
<td>3 110</td>
<td>600</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Rates for LA, MA & FA are inclusive of employer’s costs (PRSI, pension).
All ESRs are employees of their host and receive a salary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Gross Salary (without family)</th>
<th>Gross Salary (with family)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ESR</td>
<td>€39,000 p.a.</td>
<td>€44,000 p.a.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Administrative Forms

- Prepared electronically within SEP system

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Form</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Content</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section 1</td>
<td>General Information about the Proposal</td>
<td>e.g. Acronym, Title, Selection of Evaluation Panel, Project Duration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 2</td>
<td>Data on Participating Organisations</td>
<td>e.g. PIC, legal name, contact details, name of person-in-charge at the host organisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 3</td>
<td>Budget</td>
<td>Request for funding in terms of researcher months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 4</td>
<td>Ethics Table</td>
<td>Yes/No answers to series of questions re. ethical issues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 5</td>
<td>Information on Partner Organisations</td>
<td>Name, PIC, Country, Academic/Non-Academic, Role: Training and/or secondments</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>